

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,146	TSAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay K. Arora	2892	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ajay K. Arora.

(3) _____

(2) Benjamin Hauptman.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In reply to examiner's phone message to Benjamin Hauptman, Tracy Luke of Lowe, Hauptman and Berner left a message on behalf of Benjamin Hauptman to confirm that application was abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)